# NO<sub>x</sub> abatement by HC-assisted SCR over combustion synthesised-supported Ag catalysts

D. Fino<sup>\*</sup>, N. Russo, G. Saracco and V. Specchia Materials Science and Chemical Engineering Department, Politecnico di Torino, C.so Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy; \*debora.fino@polito.it

## Introduction

The reduction of nitrogen oxides emissions has become one of the greatest challenges in environment protection. In the forthcoming years, the US, Europe, and Japan governments will strengthen the legislation to reduce the amount of emitted nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>). NO<sub>x</sub> are serious pollutants that cause not only the formation of acid rain but also photochemical smog. They can generate secondary contaminants through interaction with other primary pollutants, which also result from the combustion of fossil fuels in stationary sources such as industrial boilers, power plants, waste incinerators, and gas turbines, diesel and lean-burn gasoline engine [1, 2]. Particularly, the Diesel engine has the advantage of lower consumption of fuel and CO/HC emissions than the conventional spark-ignition engine, but it has the disadvantage that a large amount of NO<sub>x</sub> is emitted in the presence of excess oxygen. Among the technical approaches developed, the direct decomposition of NO<sub>x</sub> and its reduction with hydrocarbons (HC) are the most attractive ones since virtually no additional reactants from those currently available on board are needed [3].

#### **Materials and Methods**

A series of catalyst supports (CeO<sub>2</sub>, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub>,) were prepared via a highly exothermic and self-sustaining reaction, the so-called "Solution Combustion Synthesis" (SCS) method [4]. The Ag-based catalysts (CeO<sub>2</sub>-2%Ag, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-2%Ag, ZrO<sub>2</sub>-2%Ag,) were prepared according to the same route, by adding also AgNO<sub>3</sub> to the precursors solution in order to obtain a catalyst with 2% in weight of silver [5]. This technique enables a low-cost and convenient "one-step" process, catalysts with a rather high surface areas and purity. All the catalysts were then ground in a ball mill at room temperature and characterized by different analysis: XRD, BET, FESEM, TEM, AAS and Temperature Programmed Desorption or Reduction (TPD/R). The activity of the prepared catalysts was analysed by Temperature Programmed Reaction (TPRe), according to the following standard operating procedures: a gas mixture (750 ppm

NO; 750 ppm  $C_3H_6$  or  $C_6H_6$ , 7 vol% O<sub>2</sub>, He = balance) was fed at the constant rate of 1.67 ml·s<sup>-1</sup> to the catalytic fixed-bed reactor (W/F = 0.30 g·s/cm<sup>3</sup>) enclosed in a quartz tube placed in an electric oven. The reaction temperature was controlled through a PIDregulated oven and increased to



 $550^{\circ}$ C at a 5 °C·min<sup>-1</sup> rate. The **Figure 1.** FESEM view of the CeO<sub>2</sub>-2%Ag catalyst outlet gas composition was crystals: (a) 250000x; (b) 10000x.

monitored through both a  $CO/CO_2/NO_3/N_2O$  NDIR analyzer and a  $NO/NO_2$  chemiluminescence analyzer as a function of the bed temperature.

## **Results and Discussion**

Figure 1.a illustrates a FESEM picture of the CeO<sub>2</sub>-2%Ag catalyst produced via SCS. It regards one of the two catalysts which showed the highest activity among those prepared. However, it is quite representative of all the crystal sizes (30-150 nm) of the prepared catalysts. As far as the microstructure of the catalyst crystals agglomerates is concerned, Figure 1.b shows how it is rather foamy. By employing this direct observation technique no Ag particles could be observed. This is a sign of good dispersion All samples were found to be pure by XRD analyses. No secondary phases could be detected by this technique.

Table 1 S	nacific surface area a	nd optalytic potivit	w recults of the s	unthosized cotalysts
Table 1. S	pecific surface area a	nu catalytic activit	ly results of the s	ynthesizeu catalysis

Catalyst	Ag	BET	$HC = C_3H_6$		$HC = C_6H_6$	
-	(% wt)	$[m^2/g]$	Tp [°C]	% NO to N <sub>2</sub>	Tp [°C]	% NO to N <sub>2</sub>
ZrO <sub>2</sub>		7.1	-	0	345	4
ZrO <sub>2</sub> -2%Ag	2	4.6	360	29	340	38
La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	4.9	-	0	335	70
La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -2%Ag	2	3.6	335	50	350	99
CeO <sub>2</sub>	-	74	280	34	315	41
CeO <sub>2</sub> -2%Ag	2	16.3	350	71	320	88

The surface areas and catalytic activity results for all of the catalysts are listed in Table 1. The peak temperature of the maximum NO to N<sub>2</sub> conversion is reported as T<sub>p</sub>. The presence of Ag was always found to lead to a decrease of the BET specific surface area values of the pure support oxides. In all cases, the performance of the Ag-deposited catalysts was better, than that of the support oxides alone. Moreover, using benzene as reducing agent a higher NO to N<sub>2</sub> conversion was obtained. The zirconia based catalysts delivered the worst performance with a maximum NO reduction to N<sub>2</sub> lower than 40 %. Conversely, CeO<sub>2</sub>-2%Ag and La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-2%Ag catalysts could both ignite benzene or propene combustion and NO reduction at temperatures close to 250°C, which have to be considered well inside the range of normal operating temperatures of a diesel engine (180-400°C). The NO<sub>x</sub> to N<sub>2</sub> selectivity was quite good for all catalysts since the maximum N<sub>2</sub>O or NO<sub>2</sub> production was always below 20 ppm. TPD/R results confirmed that Ag<sup>+</sup> appears to be more important than Ag for effective N<sub>2</sub> formation. Moreover, by comparing the results of all the investigated catalysts, one can also anticipate that the catalytic activity may not result exclusively from Ag, as the support itself may be involved in the reaction mechanism at least in the cases of ceria and lantana.

In the full presentation, some promising results obtained by structuring the catalysts in catalystic filters for the treatment of diesel engines and waste incinerators flue gases will be discussed.

### References

- 1. Fritz, A., and Pitchon, V. Appl. Catal. B: Environmental 13, 1 (1997).
- 2. Pârvulescu, V. I., Grange, P., and Delmon, B. Catalysis Today 46, 233 (1998).
- 3. Burch, R. Catal. Rev. 46, 271 (2004).
- 4. Fino, D., Russo, N., Saracco, G., Specchia, V., Journal of Catalysis 242, 38 (2006).
- 5. Russo, N., Fino, D., Saracco, G., Specchia, V., Catalysis Today 117, 214 (2006).