# Aromatization of methanol and methylization of benzene over Mo<sub>2</sub>C/ZSM-5 catalysts

R. Barthos, A. Széchenyi, <u>F. Solymosi<sup>a</sup></u> Institute of Solid State and Radiochemistry, University of Szeged<sup>a</sup> and Reaction Kinetics Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences P.O.Box 168, H-6701 Szeged, Hungary

# Introduction

The adsorption and reaction pathways of methanol have been investigated on various  $Mo_2C$ -containing catalysts, which have been characterized by XPS and surface acidity measurements. Previous studies demonstrated that depending on the reaction conditions and on the catalyst systems methanol can be transferred into olefins and aromatics on ZSM-5 zeolites [1, 2]. The latter process is promoted by Ga, and more particularly by Zn additive [1-3]. Recently it has been found that  $Mo_2C$  is an effective promotor in the aromatization of several hydrocarbons and ethanol occurring on ZSM-5 [4-5]. Moreover, this catalyst exhibited a unique behavior, as it can convert methane into benzene with 80% selectivity at 10-15% conversion, which ZSM-5 alone or promoted with Zn and Ga cannot do it [6-8]. Data obtained in the present work show that deposition of  $Mo_2C$  on ZSM-5 markedly enhances the formation of aromatics (benzene, toluene, xylene and  $C_9^+$ ) from methanol.

#### Experimental

Catalytic reaction was carried out at 1 atm of pressure in a fixed-bed, continuous flow reactor consisting of a quartz tube (8 mm id) connected to a capillary tube [4]. The flow rate was in general 40 ml/min, but the reaction was also studied at different space velocities. The carrier gas was Ar, which was bubbled through the methanol at room temperature: its content was ~9.0%. Generally 0.3 g of loosely compressed catalyst sample was used. Reaction products were analysed by a gas chromatograph (HP 5890) equipped with PORAPAQ Q+S packed column by  $C_2H_6/H_2$ . All the catalyst samples have been characterized before by XPS. The binding energy for Mo(3d<sub>5/2</sub>) fell in the range 227.7-228.2 eV, and for C(ls) 283.8 eV. These values are consistent with those attributed to Mo<sub>2</sub>C.

### **Results and Discussion**

By means of FTIR spectroscopy we found that methanol interacted strongly with the highly dispersed Mo<sub>2</sub>C on ZSM-5 at 300 K and gave rise to the production of methoxy species. At higher temperature the characteristic vibrations of dimethyl ether (band 2971 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and di- $\sigma$ -bonded ethylene (band at 2925 cm<sup>-1</sup>) were also identified.

Mo<sub>2</sub>C alone can not convert methanol into aromatics. It catalyzes only its decomposition to CO, H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>. The situation remained the same when Mo<sub>2</sub>C was deposited on SiO<sub>2</sub> of high surface area. A completely different picture was found, however, on ZSM-5-based catalysts. ZSM-5 alone catalyzes the conversion of methanol to olefins, and to less extent to aromatics [1-3]. Its catalytic performance depends on the Si/Al ratio, or in other words on the number of Brönsted sites, which decreases with the increase of silica content. This is reflected in the production of aromatics: highest value was measured on ZSM-5(30) and the lowest one on ZSM-5(280). Adding Mo<sub>2</sub>C to ZSM-5

samples significantly enhanced the formation of aromatics, particularly that of xylenes and  $C_9^+$  on all zeolite samples. The best results were obtained at 5% Mo<sub>2</sub>C content. The yield of total aromatics reached the value of 62% at 773 K. Further increase in the Mo<sub>2</sub>C loading led to a decline of total selectivity of aromatics due to the marked decrease of Brönsted sites.

In the explanation of the promoting effect of  $Mo_2C$  we assume that  $Mo_2C$  opens a new route for the activation of methanol. In addition to O-H bond scission proceeding on ZSM-5, the cleavage of C-O bond of adsorbed CH<sub>3</sub>OH on  $Mo_2C$  may also occur to yield CH<sub>3</sub> radical. The occurrence of this step in the dissociation of methanol has been recently confirmed by several spectroscopic tools on Pd(111) surface [9]. In subsequent reaction CH<sub>3</sub> species decomposes to CH<sub>2</sub>, the recombination of which leads to the formation of ethylene. Another fraction of CH<sub>3</sub> species is hydrogenated into methane. The active sites for this step could be the carbon deficient site on the  $Mo_2C$  surface, which may have a high affinity towards oxygen. The reactivity of this site has been exhibited in the promotion of CO dissociation at 300 K and also in the scission of C-I bond in the adsorbed alkyl iodides. The role of the  $Mo_2C$ , however, is not accomplished by opening a new route for the activation of methanol to ethylene, as it also catalyzes its aromatization. It is very likely that  $Mo_2C$  provides dehydrogenation centres for adsorbed ethylene resulting in different products, which are converted on the acidic sites of ZSM-5 into aromatics.

In order to refine the picture further we compared the rate of formation of aromatics from methanol and ethylene on Mo<sub>2</sub>C/ZSM-5(80) under exactly the same experimental conditions. We obtained that the aromatization process begins at lower temperatures and occurs much more rapidly from methanol than from ethylene. This suggests that the allylic and other  $C_x H_y$  species generated in the activation of methanol have a higher tendency and reactivity to be transformed into aromatics as compared to the "stable" ethylene molecule. Another important feature is that a larger amount of  $C_8-C_9^+$  aromatics was produced only in traces. The possible reason of ethylene. In the latter case  $C_9^+$  aromatics was produced only in traces. The possible reason of benzene formed also proceeds. This assumption has been proved by the study of the methylization of benzene with methanol. While this process proceeds slowly on pure ZSM-5, it is greatly promoted by Mo<sub>2</sub>C indicating the high reactivity of hydrocarbon fragments formed in the activation of methanol.

## References

- 1. C.D. Chang, Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 25 (1983) 1.
- 2. C. D. Chang, and A. J. Silvestri, J. Catal., 47 (1977) 269.
- 3. M.O. Adebajo, M.A. Long, Catal. Commun. 4 (2003) 71.
- 4. A Széchenyi, R. Barthos, F. Solymosi, Catal. Lett. 110 (2006) 85.
- 5. R. Barthos, F. Solymosi J. Catal. 235 (2005) 60 and references there in.
- 6. L. Wang, L. Tao, M. Xie, G. Xu, J. Huang, Y. Xu, Catal. Lett. 21 (1993) 35.
- 7. F. Solymosi, J. Cserenvi, A. Szoke, T. Bansagi, A. Oszkó, J. Catal. 165 (1997) 150.
- 8. D.W. Wang, J.H. Lunsford, M.P. Rosynek, J. Catal. 169 (1997) 347.
- M. Morkel, V. V. Kaichev, G. Rupprechter H.-J. Freund, I. P. Prosvirin, V. I. Bukhtiyarov, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 12955.