Role of Excess MoO₃ in Iron-Molybdate Methanol Oxidation Catalysts

<u>Kamalakanta Routray</u> and Israel. E. Wachs* Operando Molecular Spectroscopy and Catalysis Laboratory, Chemical Engineering Department, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015 (USA) *iew0@lehigh.edu

Introduction

It has been recognized for sometime that the presence of excess crystalline MoO₃ in bulk mixed metal oxide catalysts enhances the resulting catalytic performance during selective oxidation reactions [1, 2]. To account for this interesting catalytic phenomenon several different hypotheses have been put forth: contact potential [1], remote control or oxygen spillover [2], etc. In spite of the numerous studies on this interesting and important observation, its origin has not been resolved because none of the studies examined the intrinsic surface characteristics of the catalysts. This catalytic enhancement phenomenon is most widely employed in commercial bulk mixed MoO₃-Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ oxide catalyst for CH₃OH oxidation to HCHO [3]. Although the active phase is generally considered to be the crystalline bulk Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ phase, the highest catalytic performance is obtained in the presence of excess crystalline MoO₃ (Mo/Fe > 1.5) [4].

In order to investigate this interesting catalytic phenomenon, CH_3OH oxidation to HCHO was undertaken with both CH_3OH -temperature programmed surface reaction (TPSR) spectroscopy and steady-state methanol reactivity studies. The CH_3OH oxidation reaction was selected since metahnol is also a 'smart' chemical probe molecule that can discriminate between different types of surface sites (redox, acid or base) [5], determine the number of exposed sites [6], and the surface reaction mechanism is well understood [7]. This is especially true of the kinetics and mechanism of the bulk $Fe_2(MOQ_4)_3$ catalyst that is commercially employed for methanol oxidation to formaldehyde. Consequently, the mixed bulk MoO_3 - $Fe_2(MoO_4)_3$ oxide catalyst system was chosen to investigate this interesting catalytic phenomenon for the selective oxidation of CH_3OH to HCHO.

Experimental

The bulk Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ catalyst was synthesized by co-precipitation of aqueous Fe(NO₃)₃.9H₂O and (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄.4H₂O. The thermal treatment and other details about the synthesis of the bulk Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ catalyst have been previously presented [6]. The crystalline MoO₃ phase was prepared by thermal decomposition of (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄.4H₂O at 500 °C. Physical mixtures of MoO₃ and Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ were ground to increase the Mo/Fe ratio from 1.5, the stoichiometric ratio for Fe₂(MoO₄)₃, to 3.7 and 5.5. It was previously demonstrated that the same catalytic enhancement is obtained independent of the specific preparation method [8]. The experimental procedure followed for the CH₃OH-TPSR as well as the mathematical expression used for kinetic parameter estimation have previously been discussed [9]. The steady-state methanol oxidation reactions were carried out at atmospheric pressure and 300 ^oC. A reactant stream of CH₃OH/O₂/He of composition (in percentage) 6/13/81 with a total flow rate of 100 mL/min was used for the oxidation reaction studies. Detailed information about the methanol steady-state methanol steady-state methanol steady-state methanol steady-state methanol steady-state of 100 mL/min was used for the oxidation reaction studies.

Results and Discussion

CH₃OH-TPSR The results from CH₃OH-TPSR experiments of Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ and the MoO₃ enriched Mo/Fe= 3.7 and 5.5 catalysts are presented in Table 1. During the reaction the exclusive formation of HCHO as the reaction product, no DME or CO/CO₂ formation, reflects the redox nature of the catalytic active sites in this series of iron-molybdate catalysts. The addition of excess MoO₃ shifts the HCHO Tp value from 178 to 189 °C, which corresponds to slightly less active catalytic sites (see Table 1). This temperature shift corresponds to a slight decrease in the specific first-order k_{rds} , by as much as a factor of ~2, and reflects the lower catalytic activity of crystalline MoO₃ (Tp=220 °C) compared to Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ for methanol oxidation.

Table 1: Influence of excess MoO₃ on iron-molybdate catalyst parameters

| Sample | Tp for HCHO (°C) | k _{rds} (s ⁻¹) | Exposed Ns redox sites/gm | Selectivity | |
|--|---------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|-----|
| | | | | нсно | DME |
| Fe ₂ (MoO ₄) ₃ | 178 | 26 | 3.9 x 10 ⁻⁷ | 74 | 26 |
| Mo/Fe= 3.7 | 185 | 16 | 4.6 x 10 ⁻⁷ | 96 | 4 |
| Mo/Fe=5.5 | 189 | 12 | 4.7 x 10 ⁻⁷ | 95 | 5 |

The number of exposed redox catalytic active sites/gm, Ns, is proportional to the area under the CH₃OH-TPSR curve for HCHO formation. The Ns redox sites of the iron-molybdate catalysts normalized per gram of catalyst are presented along with their corresponding k_{rds} values in Table 1. The Ns values reveal that the number of exposed sites in the bulk ironmolybdate catalysts just slightly increases with increasing excess MoO₃ in the catalyst. Table 1 also shows that with addition of MoO₃, the HCHO selectivity increases from 74 to 96% while the DME selectivity decreases from 26 to 5%. This HCHO selectivity enhances is related to covering of the exposed unselective Fe sites in Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ by the excess MoO₃.

Significance

The excess MoO₃ in MoO₃-Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ catalysts serves to slightly decrease k_{rds} , slightly increases the Ns redox sites, and significantly increases HCHO selectivity by suppressing the DME formation. The enhanced selectivity performance of excess MoO₃ is, thus, primarily related to surface MoOx species covering exposed unselective Fe sites from Fe₂(MoO₄)₃.

References

- 1. Haber, J., and Lalik, E. Catal. Today 33, 119 (1997).
- 2. Delmon, B., and Froment, G. F. Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng. 38, 69 (1996).
- 3. Adkins, H., and Peterson, W.R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 53, 1512 (1931).
- Okamoto, Y., Morikawa, F., Oh-Hiraki, K., Imanaka, T., and Teranishi, S. J.C.S. Chem. Comm. 19, 1018 (1981).
- 5. Badlani, M.; Wachs, I.E. Catal. Lett. 2001, 75, 137-149.
- 6. Briand, L. E.; Hirt, A. M.; Wachs, I. E. J. Catal. 2001, 202 268-278.
- 7. Holstein, W. L.; Machiels, C.J. J. Catal. 1996, 162, 118-124.
- 8. Wachs, I.E.; Briand, L.E. U.S. Patent 6.037.290, 1999.
- 9. Wachs, I.E.; Jehng, J.-M.; Ueda, W. J. Phys. Chem.B. 2005, 109, 2275-2284